

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,407	11/14/2003	Robert J. Dunki-Jacobs	END-5005NP	9912	
27777 · 75	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			JUNG, WILLIAM C		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUNSV	VICK, NJ 08933-7003	3737			

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (R		Office Action Summary Pa		art of Paper No./Mail Date 26052005				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 23022004, 24012005.)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			
Attachmen	l(s)		·	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
÷	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
]	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have bee	n received.					
l .	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	-	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. 8 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		/ \	` .	FR 1.121(d).			
.5,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ´10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	•	or.						
Applicati	on Papers							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election r	equirement.					
	7) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
1	4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.							
	on of Claims							
.		parjo do	,,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· '=	,—	is action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> . This action is FINAL 2b\\(\sigma\) This action is non-final.							
_								
Status	оо расти ет војазатет. Осе эт Ст N 1.704(D).							
- Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ev ly within the stat will apply and w e, cause the app	utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	s will be considered times the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL		O EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
		William Ju		3737				
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit				
		10/713,4	07	DUNKI-JACOBS ET AL.				
1			on No.	Applicant(s)				

Application/Control Number: 10/713,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Kovacs et al* (US 5,833,603).

Kovacs et al anticipate all claimed features in claims 1 and 2. Kovac et al disclose a system and method for detecting tissues comprising a capsule comprising a detector, a substance for associating with a target tissue where the substance is capable of being detected by the detector and a machine for verifying at least one of the detector and substance are suitable for use (col. 3, line 10 – col. 4, line 59; col. 6, lines 8-56). Kovacs et al further disclose the steps of verifying at least one component and concentration (amount of chemical or biochemical substance) of the physical properties of the tissue, cell, and biochemical components of region of interest. Although, Kovacs et al do not explicitly state that the detection substance is a monoclonal body, peptide, nanoparticle, mRNA and DNS corresponding to a generic monoclonal antibody, and liposome, these are inherent properties of biochemical composition of the tissues and cells (col. 6, lines 26-36). In addition, Kovacs et al disclose that the biosensor detects energy spectra via optical or photosensor, which is used along with dye to acquire optical radiation. Although Kovacs et al do not explicitly state use or radioisotopes, the dye solution with radiation optical acquisition is inherent that the dye solution must be radioactive or

Art Unit: 3737

radioisotopes (col. 1, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 34-44; col. 5, lines 5-26). Furthermore, Kovacs et al disclose the method above where the sensor is a spectrophotometer acquiring multiple images of data from a region of interest with predetermine spectrum, wavelengths, and position to detect optical spectrum, i.e. spatial response pattern (col. 1, line 66 – col. 2, line 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kovacs et al* in view of *Iddan et al* (US 5,604,531).

Kovacs et al substantially anticipate all claimed features in claim 3. Kovac et al disclose a system for detecting tissues comprising a capsule comprising a detector, a substance for associating with a target tissue where the substance is capable of being detected by the detector and a machine for verifying at least one of the detector and substance are suitable for use (col. 3, line 10 – col. 4, line 59; col. 6, lines 8-56). In addition, Kovacs et al disclose that the capsule includes multiple detectors, a radiation detector, magnetic detector, and single analyzer for each detector (col. 4, lines 35-44). Although Kovacs et al disclose implantation of the sensor device, Kovacs et al do not disclose that the capsule is a swallowable or that the capsule material is coated to allow the capsule to goes through the gastro-intestinal (GI) tract. However, Kovacs et al's deficiency is well known in the art where Iddan et al teaches a similar capsule detector where the device is swallowable and coated with material to allow the detector to pass through

Application/Control Number: 10/713,407 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

the GI tract (col. 1, lines 34-40; col. 3, line 8 – col. 5, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovacs et al's teachings as described above with Iddan et al's device designed to be swallow through the GI tract to achieve the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Casper et al (US 5,167,626)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

аст May 26, 2005 SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700